UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDC SDNY	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,	DOCUMENT	
Plaintiff,	ELECTRONICALLY DOC #:	
-against-	DATE FILED: 12/22	
BLOOMBERG L.P.,		
Defendant.	07 CV 0202 (T A D)	
JILL PATRICOT, TANYS LANCASTER, JANET LOURES, MARINA KUSHNIR, MONICA PRESTIA, and MARIA MANDALAKIS,	07-CV-8383 (LAP)	
Plaintiff-Intervenors,		
-against-		
BLOOMBERG L.P.,		
•		

## ORDER

Defendant.

WHEREAS on August 17, 2011, an order was entered granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment as to EEOC's Pattern or Practice Claim (Document No. 202) ("August 17, 2011 Summary Judgment Ruling");

WHEREAS in an October 13, 2011 telephone conference, the Court directed EEOC to provide to Bloomberg a list of individual discrimination claims EEOC intends to continue to pursue in this action;

WHEREAS EEOC has notified Bloomberg, by letter dated December 15, 2011, of the claims and relief EEOC is pursuing at this stage of the proceeding ("December 15, 2011 Letter");

WHEREAS EEOC will seek relief for 38 individuals (including the six plaintiffintervenors) and has stipulated that, absent a successful appeal, will not seek any other backpay, damages, or victim-specific injunctive or declaratory relief in this action with respect to any individual or claim not identified in the December 15, 2011 Letter;

WHEREAS EEOC has asserted claims for victim-specific monetary or other relief in connection with its pattern or practice claim ("Pattern or Practice Relief"); and

WHEREAS Bloomberg disputes that EEOC is entitled to any such relief; THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The claims of EEOC are dismissed to the extent that they include the allegations set forth in Appendix A;
- (2) Any and all other claims previously asserted by EEOC, to the extent they are not identified in the December 15, 2011 Letter, are likewise dismissed;
- (3) This dismissal is without prejudice to the reassertion of any claim for Pattern or Practice Relief for the individuals identified in Appendix A, if but only if the August 17, 2011 Summary Judgment Ruling is reversed on appeal;
- (4) In the event of such a reversal upon appeal, this order does not limit the right of any party to present arguments concerning the availability of any particular form of relief in connection with EEOC's pattern or practice claim;
- (5) Except in the circumstance described in Paragraph (3), above, this dismissal is with prejudice; and
  - (6) The claims asserted by the plaintiff-intervenors remain to be adjudicated.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 21, 2011

Chief U.S. District Judge

. Hesley

## Appendix A

Aimee Picchi: Stereotypic/negative comments; Heightened scrutiny,

criticism, and additional work responsibilities; Denied assistance to perform her job duties; Denial of promotion,

5/29/05-2006

Alexandra Gindin: All allegations
 Allyson Houchen: All allegations

Caroline Wagner: Denied recognition, 2005 and 2006; Criticism for schedule

and appointments, 2006; Interfered with use of lactation room, 2006; Micromanaged and prohibited certain travel

activities, 2006

Catherine McGonigle: Denied mid-year 2009 evaluation

Christina Orara Dodd: Stereotypic and negative comments; Encouraged to work

part-time

• Christine Staiti: Denied transfer to U.S., 2007-2010; Heightened

scrutiny/criticism about workload; Denied moving benefits

related to 2010 transfer

Collect McElroy Surlis: Denied scheduling and telecommuting privileges

Cristina Talone Mannino: Request to work part-time denied
 Dana Zhu: 2005-2006 compensation claims

Danelle Porrovecchio: All allegations

• Deirdre Maloney: Discriminatory performance evaluations and ratings;

Terms, conditions, and privileges of employment

• Diane Hirsch: All allegations

Donna Vannelli: Criticized for work schedule

Ekaterine Cherpelis: Stereotypic and negative comments related to

sex/pregnancy before accepting employment offer; Work schedule unfairly scrutinized and denial of flexibility in

scheduling

Hye Won Park: Negative performance evaluation in 2007

Jandee Oh Hewitt: 2005 compensation claim

Janine Kim: All allegations

Jennifer Sullivan: 2002 compensation claim; Heightened scrutiny; Denied

adoption leave, 2005

• Juliann Walsh: Request to work from home denied in late 2005; Request

to return to work part-time after resigning from Bloomberg

denied

Karen Tannenbaum: All allegations

Kathleen O'Heron: All allegations

Ketal Wrobel: Negative performance evaluations/ratings, since 2005

Laura Hutchinson: All allegations Lenore Brutton: All allegations

Marcia Barros: 2003 compensation claim; Negative performance

evaluations after May 2005

Margaret Popper: All allegations

Margarita Castro: All allegations

Maria Leggett-Donnelly: All allegations

Mary Dillard: All allegations

Mary Elizabeth McGovern: All allegations Marybeth Sandell: All allegations

Meena Krishnamsetty Dogan: Stereotypic comments; Denied flex time because

performance under review; Micromanagement

Melissa Mendel: All allegations

Meredith Pelkey: Negative and stereotypic comments regarding pregnancy:

> Unfairly criticized for using vacation days; Denied flexible schedule: Negative evaluations and unfounded criticism of

work performance

Noelle McGowan: All allegations

Olga Tsidulko: All allegations

Renee Marcus: Diminished responsibilities upon returning from maternity

leave in 2006; Increased responsibilities upon returning

from maternity leave in 2006

Samantha Zee: Denial of promotion to editor, since 2005; Heightened

> scrutiny/criticism and negative performance evaluation after returning from leave in 2005; Denied career advancing assignments/opportunities since 2005;

Performance evaluations/ratings since 2005; Undesirable transfer from General Assignment to Energy team in or

around April 2007

Sarita Bhat: All allegations Scarlet Fu:

Sharon Rochford: Denied flexible schedule; Hostile and stereotypic

All allegations

comments; Assigned less desirable territory in late 2009; Assigned to work for Brenton Karman in 2009; Denied

transfer to Product Development in 2009; Negative performance evaluations/ratings; Denial of opportunity to work from home; Denial of team leader position in 2009; Denied training opportunities

• Shivanie Rempergash:

All allegations

Sofia Fernandez;

2004 compensation claim

Sondra Kennedy:

All allegations

Stacey Ryczkowski;

All allegations

• Stefanie Batcho-Lino:

Failure to acknowledge positive accomplishments after she returned from leave in December 2006; Discriminated

against with respect to schedule

Tannia Munroe:

Pressure to work longer hours than pre-maternity leave after return from leave in 2005; Unfair criticism and

scrutiny

· Ti Zhang:

2005, 2008-2010 compensation claims; Negative performance evaluations/ratings; Denial of work-fromhome days; Negative treatment following deposition

Vania Carvalhaes:

All allegations

· Vicki Texidor:

All allegations

Winnie Yeh:

All allegations

Yelena Eisenhauer:

All allegations